

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/963,471	09/27/2001		Hiroaki Tomofuji	1081.1130	9539
21171	7590	05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & F	HALSEY	LLP	PASCAL, LESLIE C		
	ORK AV	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	2633		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/963,471	TOMOFUJI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie Pascal	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	March 2005.						
	nis action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-13,15 and 16 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from considera	ation.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	n□	(DTO 440)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·					

Application/Control Number: 09/963,471

Art Unit: 2633

1. Claims 4-13 and 15-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3-7-2005.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taga et al (JP 11-204866-please note that US6377375 has an English translation).

Taga et al teach a branching filter (demultiplexer 2, shown in detail in figure 3), which separates the signals into groups (bands), a functional circuit that functionally processes the wavelength groups on a group-by-group basis (3 and/or 5) and a multiplexer (6). In regard to claim 2, the amplifier makes level adjustments and the dispersion compensator provides the compensation.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taga et al (JP 11-204866) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Cao (6263126).

Although Taga et al do not teach specifics about the bands that are separated,

Cao teaches that it is well known to use a first filter to separate the signals into odd and

Art Unit: 2633

even bands (fig 5a, stage 1) and then to use a second filter (stage 2...) in order to separate the channel further. It would have been obvious to use filters which separate into separate odd/even bands as taught by Cao as the filter (figure 3 of Taga) in order to provide a larger separation between channels and avoid noise of adjacent channels since Cao teaches that in the prior art adjacent channels similar to Taga were used.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taga et al (JP 11-204866) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Jp11-88263.

Although Taga et al do not specifically teach leaving spaces between wavelength groups, Jp11-88263 teaches leaving spaces between wavelength groups (see figures 7 and 8). It would have been obvious to leave spaces between channel groups in order to avoid noise of leakage between channels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,471

Art Unit: 2633

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2633